Comparison Peers: Distance of Peers from WT
# Comparison Peers: Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>SACSCOC</th>
<th>FTE</th>
<th>Ret Rate</th>
<th>4yr Grad Rate</th>
<th>6yr Grad Rate</th>
<th>Degrees/FTE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austin Peay State University</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8227</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana University-Purdue University-Fort Wayne</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9168</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana University-South Bend</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5396</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McNeese State University</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6934</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morehead State University</td>
<td>KY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8184</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Michigan University</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7416</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern State University of Louisiana</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6801</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburg State University</td>
<td>KS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6365</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Utah University</td>
<td>UT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7042</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The University of Tennessee-Martin</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5999</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Central Oklahoma</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13548</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Nebraska at Kearney</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5453</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of North Alabama</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5992</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Southern Indiana</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8494</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Wisconsin-Whitewater</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11157</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Texas A&amp;M University</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7565</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*italicized rows rows indicate deans' request*
Technical Notes for Data Slides

- Data for WTAMU are represented by **MAROON** bars.
- Data for all peers are represented by **GRAY** bars.
- The vertical **RED** line on each slide represents the mean value for that specific data point.
Comparison Peers: Full-time Equivalent (FTE) Enrollment

Note: FTE enrollment is a measure of institutional size that normalizes the mix of full-time and part-time students.
Comparison Peers: Fall-to-Fall Retention (First-time, Full-time Students)

Note: This retention rate is a key performance indicator of institutional effectiveness used by many universities and organizations across higher education.
Comparison Peers: 6-year Graduation Rate (First-time, Full-time Students)

Note: Graduation rate is a key performance indicator of institutional effectiveness used by many universities and organizations across higher education.
Comparison Peers: Degrees Awarded per FTE

Note: This locally-created metric divides total degrees awarded by student FTE to make 'apples-to-apples' comparisons across institutions with different sizes of enrollment.
Comparison Peers: Academic Program Similarity Score

Note: This locally-created metric calculates how similar an institution's academic program mix is when compared to the target institution (WTAMU), which is why WTAMU does not have a score. Score range is 0–100. Lower scores are better.
Comparison Peers: % of Adult Undergraduates (25-64)

Note: This percentage provides a rough estimate of how many 'non-traditional' students are enrolled at each institution.
**Comparison Peers: Research Expenditures per FTE**

Note: This metric shows how much an institution spends per FTE student to produce research outcomes. This is an outcome that will need to increase as WTAMU works toward becoming a Regionally Responsive Research University.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison Peers</th>
<th>Expenditures per FTE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>University of North Alabama</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Utah University</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Wisconsin-Whitewater</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Southern Indiana</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern State University of Louisiana</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Nebraska at Kearney</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morehead State University</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana University–South Bend</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Michigan University</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana University–Purdue University–Fort Wayne</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The University of Tennessee–Martin</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern State University of Louisiana</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Southern Indiana</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Wisconsin–Whitewater</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Utah University</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of North Alabama</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Nebraska at Kearney</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morehead State University</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana University–Purdue University–Fort Wayne</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The University of Tennessee–Martin</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern State University of Louisiana</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Southern Indiana</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Wisconsin–Whitewater</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Utah University</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of North Alabama</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Comparison Peers: Graduate Enrollment as Percentage of Total Enrollment

Note: This percentage shows the proportion of graduate students in the student body.
Comparison Peers: % Undergraduates Enrolled Only in Distance Courses

Note: This is an important metric as WTAMU explores potential growth in distance education at the undergraduate level.
Comparison Peers: % Graduate Students Enrolled Only in Distance Courses

Note: This is an important metric as WTAMU explores potential growth in distance education at the graduate level.
Comparison Peers: % of Undergraduate Students who are Women

Note: This metric indicates the gender distribution at each institution.

Comparison Peers:
- Northwestern State University of Louisiana
- University of Southern Indiana
- Indiana University–South Bend
- Morehead State University
- McNeese State University
- University of North Alabama
- University of Nebraska at Kearney
- University of Central Oklahoma
- The University of Tennessee–Martin
- Austin Peay State University
- Southern Utah University
- West Texas A&M University
- Northern Michigan University
- Indiana University–Purdue University–Fort Wayne
- University of Wisconsin–Whitewater
- Pittsburg State University
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Comparison Peers: % of Undergraduate Students who are White

Note: This metric provides a rough estimate of diversity at each institution.
Comparison Peers: Average Salary of Assistant Professors (9-month equated)

Note: Salary comparisons across institutions in different states/regions should be made with caution.
Comparison Peers: Average Salary of Associate Professors (9-month equated)

Note: Salary comparisons across institutions in different states/regions should be made with caution.
Comparison Peers: Average Salary of Professors (9-month equated)

Note: Salary comparisons across institutions in different states/regions should be made with caution.
Comparison Peers: Student-to-Faculty Ratio

Note: This ratio shows the number of FTE students to FTE instructional staff. WTAMU's ratio was 22:1 in 2014–2015. Lower ratios indicate the institution employs more faculty per student.
Comparison Peers: Instructional, Research, and Public Service (Faculty) FTE

Note: This metric shows how many FTE staff have duties primarily related to instruction, research, and public service.
Comparison Peers: Total Faculty and Staff FTE

Note: This metric shows how many total FTE staff are employed by each institution. This count includes all occupational categories (administrative staff, instructional/research/public service staff, etc.).
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University of Central Oklahoma
Morehead State University
University of Southern Indiana
Indiana University–Purdue University–Fort Wayne
University of Wisconsin–Whitewater
Northern Michigan University
Austin Peay State University
Pittsburg State University
The University of Tennessee–Martin
University of Nebraska at Kearney
West Texas A&M University
Northwestern State University of Louisiana
Southern Utah University
University of North Alabama
McNeese State University
Indiana University–South Bend
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Comparison Peers: Total Price for In-State Students Living on Campus

Note: This total price calculation allows inter-institutional comparisons of the average annual cost for students who qualify for in-state tuition and live in on-campus residence halls.
Comparison Peers: Core Revenues (Total Dollars)

Note: Core revenues include tuition and fees; government appropriations; government grants and contracts; private gifts, grants, and contracts; investment income; other operating and nonoperating sources; and other revenues and additions.
Comparison Peers: Tuition and Fees as Percent of Core Revenues

Note: This percentage provides an estimation of how reliant an institution is on student tuition and fees as a source of revenue.
Comparison Peers: State Appropriations as Percent of Core Revenues

Note: This percentage provides an estimation of how much support an institution receives from the state.
Comparison Peers: Core Expenses (Total Dollars)

Note: This total includes expenses for instruction, research, public service, academic support, student services, institutional support, operation and maintenance of plant, depreciation, scholarships and fellowships, interest, and other operating and non-operating expenses.
Comparison Peers: Instruction Expenses as a Percent of Core Expenses

Note: This percentage provides an estimation of how much an institution spends on instructional expenses compared to other core expenses.

Comparison Peers:
- Indiana University–South Bend
- University of Nebraska at Kearney
- University of Central Oklahoma
- The University of Tennessee–Martin
- Southern Utah University
- Pittsburg State University
- Indiana University–Purdue University–Fort Wayne
- University of North Alabama
- McNeese State University
- Austin Peay State University
- University of Southern Indiana
- West Texas A&M University
- University of Wisconsin–Whitewater
- Northwestern State University of Louisiana
- Northern Michigan University
- Morehead State University

Developmental Draft -- Not For Publication
Comparison Peers: Research Expenses as a Percent of Core Expenses

Note: This percentage provides an estimation of how much an institution spends on research expenses compared to other core expenses. Not all institutions in this Comparison Peer group reported research expenses in 2014–2015.
Comparison Peers: Student Service Expenses as a Percent of Core Expenses

Note: This percentage provides an estimation of how much an institution spends on student service expenses compared to other core expenses.
Comparison Peers: Core Expenses as a Percent of Core Revenues

Note: This percentage provides a rough estimate of institutional efficiency. An institution that is close to 100% on this metric is investing most of their core revenues in core areas essential to the successful operation of the university.